	Reply from	Comments made	Officer response
1	Newbury Town Council	a) Support in principle.	a) Noted.
	Council	b) Other factors relating to the bridge and the adjacent site may mean that it is not the right solution in the future.	b) See section 3 of the main report.
2	Greenham Parish Council	 Concerned regarding traffic issues on the Boundary Road rail bridge. 	See section 3 of the main report.
		b) Concerned that the signals will result in traffic congestion.	
		 Suggests a separate pedestrian crossing, away from the junction. 	
3	Newbury Town Centre Partnership	Welcome the resulting improvement in pedestrian safety, and hope that the installation uses technology to the full to ensure that there is no significant increase in traffic congestion at the junction.	Noted.
4	West Berkshire Disability	 Comments and queries on the design of the scheme and facilities for disabled people. 	 These comments will be taken into account should the scheme proceed.
	Alliance	 b) Concerned regarding traffic issues on the Boundary Road rail bridge. 	b) See section 3 of the main report.
5	Thames Valley Police	Concerned regarding possible detrimental effect on Emergency response times. Difficulty for police vehicles to overtake queuing traffic.	Some changes to the road layout on Boundary Road (north) may be able to alleviate this perceived problem. However it is not considered that the traffic signals would significantly worsen the existing level of between the police station and the junction at busy times.
6	Resident	With traffic coming from 3 directions crossing Hambridge Road can be very risky especially during rush hour, so I welcome this proposal.	Noted.
7	Business	Strongly object:	See section 3 of the main report.
		a) Concerned that the signals will result in traffic congestion.	

	Reply from	Comments made	Officer response
		 b) Pedestrian crossing not justified due to low pedestrian numbers, and it is usually not very difficult for pedestrians to cross anyway. 	
		c) Money is better spent elsewhere.	
8	Resident	a) Pleased that the council is considering safety at this busy junction.	a) Noted
		 b) Concerned regarding traffic issues on the Boundary Road rail bridge. 	b) See section 3 of the main report.
9	Resident	a) Appreciates that it can be difficult to cross Hambridge Road.	a) Noted.
		 b) Concerned regarding traffic issues on the Boundary Road rail bridge. 	b) See section 3 of the main report.
		 Queuing traffic on Hambridge Road is likely to prevent vehicles on Boundary Road from entering Hambridge Road. 	c) Could be addressed by use of "yellow box" road markings.
10	Employee of Mill Lane business	a) Query on operation of traffic signals.	 Boundary Road (north) and Boundary Road (south) would not both be shown the green light at the same time, therefore it is unlikely that there would be confusion over who has right of way.
		b) Concerned that the signals will result in traffic congestion.	b) See section 3 of the main report.
		 c) Concerned about disruption during the implementation of the project. 	c) A degree of disruption would be inevitable, but most work would be restricted to off peak hours and the project would be co-ordinated with other projects in the area (eg Hambridge Road/Hambridge Lane improvements) so that there were not too many roadworks close together.
11	Resident	a) Concerned that the signals will result in traffic congestion.	See section 3 of the main report.
		b) Suggests a separate pedestrian crossing, away from the	

	Reply from	Comments made	Officer response
		junction.	
12	Resident	These proposals are very welcome indeed.	Noted.
13	Road user	Suggests that the traffic signals should control traffic on the bridge as well.	See section 3 of the main report.
14	Resident	a) Positive step in addressing issues for pedestrians.	a) Noted.
		b) Concerned regarding traffic issues on the Boundary Road rail bridge.	b) See section 3 of the main report.
15	Resident	a) Concerned that signals will result in traffic congestion.	a) See section 3 of the main report.
		b) Concerned regarding traffic issues on the Boundary Road rail bridge.	b) See section 3 of the main report.
		 c) Concerned about traffic growth resulting from the racecourse development. 	c) Although this development will generate traffic, the new railway bridge at the eastern end of Hambridge Road will cater for traffic heading east from the new residential area. Traffic heading is able to use Racecourse Road.
		d) Suggests making Boundary Road one way.	d) Making Boundary Road one way is possible, but this would require a separate consultation process in its own right and the redistribution of traffic would have to be modelled for key junctions, eg A339/"Burger King" and A339/"Sainsbury's" roundabouts.
16	Resident	Supports the proposed pedestrian crossing.	Noted.
17	Resident	 Plans are insufficient and traffic will be worse following the racecourse development. 	 Although this development will generate traffic, the new railway bridge at the eastern end of Hambridge Road will cater for traffic heading east from the new residential area. Traffic heading is able to use Racecourse Road.
		b) Suggests making Boundary Road one way.	 Making Boundary Road one way is possible, but this would require a separate consultation process in its own right and the redistribution of

	Reply from	Comments made	Officer response
			traffic would have to be modelled for key junctions, eg A339/"Burger King" and A339/"Sainsbury's" roundabouts.
18	Resident	a) Concerned that signals will result in traffic congestion.	a) See section 3 of the main report.
		 b) Suggests a separate pedestrian crossing, away from the junction. 	b) See section 3 of the main report.
		 Spend the money on a new road behind Kings Road instead. 	c) The provision of a new link road behind Kings Road remains a long term aspiration, but is prohibitively expensive without external funding, which would most probably need to come from a private development in the area.
19	Resident	Strongly supports the proposals.	Noted.
20	Road user	a) Supports the proposals for the junction, which will reduce driver confusion at the junction.	a) Noted.
		b) Concerned regarding traffic issues on the Boundary Road rail bridge.	b) See section 3 of the main report.
21	Resident	Supports the proposals.	Noted.
22	Resident	a) Concerned regarding traffic issues on the Boundary Road rail bridge.	See section 3 of the main report.
		a) Concerned that the signals will result in traffic congestion.	
		 d) Suggests a separate pedestrian crossing, away from the junction. 	
23	Business	Concerned that the signals will result in traffic congestion.	See section 3 of the main report.
24	Business	a) Concerned regarding traffic issues on the Boundary Road rail bridge.	See section 3 of the main report.

	Reply from	Comments made	Officer response
		b) Concerned that the signals will result in traffic congestion.	
		 Suggests a separate pedestrian crossing, away from the junction. 	
25	Road user	 Concerned regarding traffic issues on the Boundary Road rail bridge. 	See section 3 of the main report.
		b) Concerned that the signals will result in traffic congestion.	
		 Suggests a separate pedestrian crossing, away from the junction. 	
26	Business	a) Concerned that the signals will result in traffic congestion	a) See section 3 of the main report.
		 b) Concerned that the layout of the junction would impede turning manoeuvres for large vehicles. 	b) The only change to the alignment of the junction is to include a small area on which to locate a traffic signal pole. Analysis using software to model the paths of turning vehicles suggests that vehicles would still be able to turn.
27	Business	Concerned that the layout of the junction would impede turning manoeuvres for large vehicles.	The only change to the alignment of the junction is to include a small area on which to locate a traffic signal pole. Analysis using software to model the paths of turning vehicles suggests that vehicles would still be able to turn.
28	Business	 Concerned regarding traffic issues on the Boundary Road rail bridge. 	a) See section 3 of the main report.
		b) Concerned that the signals will result in traffic congestion.	b) See section 3 of the main report.
		 c) Concerned that the signals will cause pollution and access difficulties for large vehicles. 	c) There may be a marginal increase in local pollutants from stationary vehicles, but this has not been investigated in detail. The only change to the alignment of the junction is to include a small area on which to locate a traffic signal pole. Analysis using software to model the paths of turning vehicles suggests that vehicles would still be able to turn.

	Reply from	Comments made	Officer response
		 Suggests a separate pedestrian crossing, away from the junction. 	d) See section 3 of the main report.
		 Suggests that the consultation was not fair, as we did not attempt to elicit the views of all road users. 	 e) It would be difficult to canvass the opinion of all road users, but it is accepted that greater coverage would be achieved if more use were made of the internet for future schemes.
		 Suggests using temporary traffic signals as a trial and to gather further feedback from road users. 	f) This is a possibility, although the temporary traffic signals would need to be set up to operate in exactly the same way as the permanent signals to allow a direct comparison.
		g) Also includes names of 38 customers and employees who are opposed to the introduction of traffic signals.	g) Noted.
29	Resident	Strongly supports the proposals	Noted
30	Resident	 Concerned regarding traffic issues on the Boundary Road rail bridge. 	a) See section 3 of the main report.
		 b) Concerned about traffic growth resulting from the racecourse development. 	b) Although this development will generate traffic, the new railway bridge at the eastern end of Hambridge Road will cater for traffic heading east from the new residential area. Traffic heading is able to use Racecourse Road.
31	Business	Project is unnecessary.	Noted.
32	Resident	Supports the proposals.	Noted.
33	Resident	Supports in principle, however:	
		a) queries funding of project.	a) Project funded from S106 contributions from recent developments
		b) queries design issues.	 b) These comments will be taken into account should the scheme proceed.

	Reply from	Comments made	Officer response
		c) suggest "part time" signals.	c) Part time signals would not cater for pedestrians during the times that the signals were not active and are therefore not recommended.
34	Resident	Supports the proposals.	Noted.
35	Resident	Supports the proposals.	Noted